




Survey Results

Legend


Question text



1. Workshop Execution


Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statements concerning the workshop execution. If a statement is not relevant for your workshop, please choose the 'Not applicable/no opinion' option.

1.1) I was well informed about the workshop objectives.	Strongly Disagree	9,1%	9,1%	54,5%	27,3%	Strongly Agree	n=11 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0,9
1.2) My foreknowledge was sufficient to follow the workshop.	Strongly Disagree	9,1%	9,1%	63,6%	18,2%	Strongly Agree	n=11 av.=2,9 md=3 dev.=0,8
1.3) The workshop was well organized.	Strongly Disagree	9,1%	9,1%	54,5%	27,3%	Strongly Agree	n=11 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0,9
1.4) The learning materials (e.g. handouts and exercises) were useful.	Strongly Disagree	9,1%	9,1%	45,5%	36,4%	Strongly Agree	n=11 av.=3,1 md=3 dev.=0,9
1.5) The materials were presented in an organized manner.	Strongly Disagree	9,1%	18,2%	45,5%	27,3%	Strongly Agree	n=11 av.=2,9 md=3 dev.=0,9
1.6) The schedule of the workshop provided sufficient time to understand the materials delivered.	Strongly Disagree	9,1%	18,2%	45,5%	27,3%	Strongly Agree	n=11 av.=2,9 md=3 dev.=0,9
1.7) The teaching approach of the workshop motivated me to learn.	Strongly Disagree	9,1%	9,1%	36,4%	45,5%	Strongly Agree	n=11 av.=3,2 md=3 dev.=1
1.8) Discussion with my fellow participants contributed to be a better understanding of the topic.	Strongly Disagree	9,1%	9,1%	54,5%	27,3%	Strongly Agree	n=11 av.=3 md=3 dev.=0,9
1.9) The workshop assessment was appropriate to test the learning outcomes.	Strongly Disagree	9,1%	0%	54,5%	36,4%	Strongly Agree	n=11 av.=3,2 md=3 dev.=0,9




2. Staff evaluation

Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statements concerning the staff of the workshops. If a statement is not relevant for your workshop, please choose the 'Not applicable/no opinion' option.



3. Relation with occupation

Please indicate to what extent you agree with the following statements concerning the relation with your occupation. If a statement is not relevant for your workshop, please choose the 'Not applicable/no opinion' option.



4. Overall




5. Personal details


5.1) What is your gender?




5.2) In what kind of organization is your occupation? (multiple answers possible)




5.4) What is your educational background? (multiple answers possible)



5.6) What is the highest academic degree you have obtained?



5.8) What is your age?




5.9) How many years of working experience do you have relevant to this workshop?




5.10) Where is your office located?



5.13) How did you get information about a workshop?



y		1	2	3	4	
x						
1		100%	0%	0%	0%	100%
2		0%	100%	0%	0%	100%
3		28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	14.3%	100%
4		50%	0%	50%	0%	100%
		36.4%	27.3%	27.3%	9.1%	100%



x: My foreknowledge was sufficient to follow the workshop.

- 1: Strongly Disagree
- 2: Disagree
- 3: Agree
- 4: Strongly Agree

y: How many years of working experience do you have relevant to this workshop?

- 1: 0-2
- 2: 2-5
- 3: 5-10
- 4: More than 10

Profile


Subunit: Faculty ITC

Name of the instructor: GEOCAP workshops


Name of the course: 'Workshop for Engineers – Operation and Maintenance Skills for Geothermal Power Plants' – 9-13 October 2017
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean


1. Workshop Execution



2. Staff evaluation



3. Relation with occupation



4. Overall

4.1) On a scale of 1-10, I gave the course an overall mark of



n=11 av.=8,2 md=8,0 dev.=0,8

Comments Report

2. Staff evaluation

3. Relation with occupation

3.5) I will be interested to follow a geothermal related workshop on
(if not any, then you can skip this question)

- Energy efficiencies on geothermal power plant
- Maintenance of geothermal plant
- New Zealand and other geothermal producing countries
- Reservoir engineering
- geothermal reservoir
- inspection
- yes I interest

4. Overall

4.2) For me, the strongest elements of the workshop were:

- Inspection
- Operatinal and maintenance of geothermal power plant
- Site visiting and industrial presentation
- The discussion can provoke me to get an idea of research subject
- Thermodynamics
- control systems in power plant geothermal areas
- field visit
- giving me a lot of knowledges and experiences

4.3) I have the following suggestion(s) to improve the workshop

- Employs a lecturer from company and academics who has experiences in geothermal research
- I suggest to get more discuss with the prefessional worker
- Many of the workshop material is too theoretic. In my opinion is more better if the material closed to application or like the case study
- i think, the lessons are focused on some special things and on participants with the same scientific background is good
- more geothermal books should be discussed
- please more detail knowledge for maintenance and inspections

5. Personal details

5.11) In which province?

- Aceh
- DKI Jakarta
- East java
- Jakarta
- Jawa tengah

- Lampung province
- North Sulawesi
- West sumatra
- Yogyakarta
- middle java

5.14) In what other way did you get information about a workshop?

- From office
- Invitation from PP ESDM